PATENT COLLABORATION TREATY

Sender: THE DEPARTMENT IN CHARGE
OF INTERNATIONAL SEARCH

OF INTERNATIONAL SEARCH							
Addressee:			PCT				
Herminio FERNANDEZ MANZO CALLE DE PUEBLA, 174-2 COL. ROMA C.P. 06700 MEXICO CITY			OPINION IN WRITING OF THE DEPARTMENT IN CHARGE OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH (Rule 43bis. 1 of the PCT)				
MEXICO			Date of issue (month/day/year) JANUA	ARY 21, 2005 01. 21. 2005			
Reference of the applicant's or agent's file			TO CONTINUE THE PROCEDURE See point 2				
(month/da		Date of international filin (month/day/year)	OCTOBER 10, 2003				
PCT/MX2004/00007		OCTOBER 8, 2004 ion (IPC) or at the same time		(10.10.2003)			
A 47 J 36/28	JIA33IIICALI	ion (ii c) or at the same th	ne national classification	and II C			
Applicant LARRAB	URE REY	ES, David	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		tions on the following point	ts:				
X Box I	Basis o	f the opinion					
□ Box II	Priority		······································				
□ Box III	No opii	No opinion on the novelty, inventive activity and industrial application					
□ Box IV		Lack of invention unit					
X Box V	Motiva	Motivated statement according to Rule 43bis.1.a)i) about the novelty, the inventive activity,					
_		and the industrial application; quotes and explanations supporting this statement					
□ Box VI	Certain	Certain documents quoted					
□ Box VII	Defects	Defects in the international application					
□ Box VIII		s on the international appli					
2. PROCEDURE CO	NTINUE	D					
If a preliminary international examination request is made, this opinion will be considered an opinion in writing of the International preliminary examining authority ("IPEA"), except in those cases in which the applicant chooses an authority other than this one, and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau, as provided for in Rule 66.1 bis(b) that opinions in writing of this Department in charge of the international search will not be considered as such.							
If this opinion is considered, as above set forth, an IPEA's opinion in writing, the applicant is asked to file with the IPEA a reply in writing together with the amendments, if any, before the end of the 3-month period as of the date on which form PCT/ISA/220 has been sent or before the end of the 22-month period as of the date of priority, whichever occurs later.							
For other opinions, see form PCT/ISA/220. 3. For more details, see notes in form PCT/ISA/220.							
the International Sear	ch	Department in charge of		Moreno, Ma. Paz			
SPANISH PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE C/Panama, 1-28071 Madrid (Spain)			1002	17101 viio, 1710. 1 uL			
Fax No. 91 349 53 04			Telephone No.: 91 349	53 94			

Form PCT/ISA/237 (First page) (January, 2004)

OPINION IN WRITING OF THE DEPARTMENT IN	International application No.
CHARGE OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH	PCT/MX2004/000072
Box I. Basis of the opinion	
language in which it was delivered, except specified to This opinion is based on a translation from the origin	
2. Regarding the nucleotid and/or aminoacid sequences di claimed invention, this opinion has been based on:	sclosed in the international application and required for the
 a. Type of material a list of sequences table(s) on the list of sequences 	
b. Material format ☐ in writing ☐ in computer readable support	
c. Date of filing/ delivery contents in the international application just as file filed together with the international application in subsequently filed to this Department for search	n computer readable format
filed, the required statement that the information contain	y of a list of sequences and/or table related thereto has been ned in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to the lat it does not go beyond the initial filing has been delivered.
4. Additional comments:	
•	

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box I) (January, 2004)

OPINION IN WRITING OF THE DEPARTMENT IN CHARGE OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH

International application No.

PCT/MX2004/000072

Box V. Motivated statement according to rule 43bis.1.a)l) on the novelty, the inventive activity and the industrial application; quotes and explanations supporting this statement.

application; quotes a	na explanations supp	orting this statement.	
1. Statement			
Novelty	Claims	1 - 3	YES
,	Claims		NO NO
Inventive activity	Claims	1 – 3	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial	Claims	1 – 3	YES
application	Claims	1-3	NO
			

2. Quotes and explanations

Documents considered.

Doc.	Number of Publication or Identification	Date of Pub.
D01	ES 2014324	07. 01.1990
D02	ES 1015265	06.16.1991
D03	ES 0245741 U	01.16.1980
D04	ES 5461867	10.31.1995

Two groups of inventions related to the invention have been found, which form the state of the art.

On the one hand, there are containers that include an air-tight module that includes two chemical reactives (see documents D01, D03, D04). Such reactives are stable in separate, but when mixed, an exothermal or endothermal reaction is produced that produces heat or cold that is transferred to an element, regularly food or drink, contained in another module of the same container separated from the first module. In order for the reactives to get mixed, the container thereof has to be broken, so such containers may not be reused, and this makes them different from the invention.

On the other hand, we have a group of inventions (see document D02) consisting of pads with a closed compartment and an open compartment, where the object to be heated is introduced. In such inventions, the heat is supplied by an electric or similar resistance, they are not autonomous devices, since they depend on an external power supply.

Consequently, by examining these documents found, the invention is considered to have a novelty, inventive activity, and industrial application.

Nevertheless, by examining document D02, it could be considered that the invention is not totally novel. But the problem is not the inventive idea but the wording of claims 1 and 2. Such claims do not include the way in which heat is produced, and thus it may seem that the purpose of the invention is already known. Therefore, it is deemed advisable to include the way in which heat is produced in claim 1, in order for the invention protection to be effective.

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box V) (January, 2004)

I, María de los Ángeles Pérez Cue, expert translator approved by the Superior Court of Justice in and for Mexico City, hereby certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the above is a true translation of its original in Spanish.

Mexico City, March 15, 2006.

Ma de la Angelu Leiz Cui